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## EVIL, MEAN, AND ROTTEN.

A fantasy game for the bad guys? Yea, verily. Monsters! Monsters! is the new fantasy role-playing game from Metagaming Concepts. No more good-guy heroes. In Monsters! Monsters!, you become a monster character - come up from the dungeons - stalk into town - and wreak havoc. The eviller you are, the more experience points you'll earn...

Monsters Monsters/ was designed by Ken St. Andre,
lavishly illustrated by Liz Danforth, and edited by Steve Jackson of the Meta-
gaming staff.

As with our previous game, Stellar Conquest, every effort was made to
provide a clear, complete provide a clear, complete
rule system. Major omissions and contradictions that plague other game systems are avoided by a
carefully organized forma carefully organized format.
This is an excellent game for This is an excelient game and
novice Gasters and new fantasy buffs - and should be a relief for experienced gamers exhausted
by confusing rule systems
Monsters! Monsters! is a 52 -page, $81 / 2$ by 11 rule
book with Danforth's full color cover. Also included are four maps for the Game Master to use in setting up an initial adventure.


 Mask
So put a new twist in your gaming - try Monsters! Monsters! Approved as an
outlet for antisocial tendencies by the American Psychologists and Crazies
Association. Association
METAGAMING CONCEPTS
Box 15346 Box 15346
Austin, Tex
Austin, Texas 78761
Subscribers to our maga zine The Space Gamer get a
discount price - $\$ 5.00$. The Space Gamer appears bimonthly. A year (six issues) is $\$ 5.00$; two years (twelve
issues). 59.00 . issues), 59.00 .
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## FRONT COVER: Kenneth Rahman

ARTISTS: Chung, Pileggi, Jaquays, Tiffin
order problems/information: The holiday season is coming so expect a bit longer than usual delivery time. Third class mail is delivered after first class mail if there is a backlog.

Also, be sure and let us know your new address as soon as you make a move. TSG is currently Bul Mailed. Bulk Mail isn't fowarded U.S por specice you will pay postage on all mail fowarded. Otherwise, the TSGs are returned to us.

When you write to us it is best to keep your order information on a seperate sheet from letters. We are less likely to miss it that way. With over 1,000 circulation we do get lots of mail. All is read at least twice during the normal mail processing cycle. Actual letters of comment, problems, etc., will get handled three to five times. only a fraction of letters can be answered but we do listen very well to all you say. TSG readers have taken us at our word about airing gripes and problems as well as giving us praise. We can't ignore you like government and the phone company.

MicroGames Project: Our MicroGames were the suprise hit of last issue's feedback. All segments of our readership were favorable toward the idea except for the complexity/sophistication buffs where reaction was still fair. The two key elements seem to be price and the shorter playtime. Also crucial s a playable, well designed game.

Based on your response MicroGames go up on our project list. To be a success, ie. economically possible, they will have to attain a $50 \%$ to $100 \%$ larger distribution than our first games. So, let your ing and him a

With aittle luck and your
With alita (lun and your the announcement of the first series of Microtames With pricing a sensitive factor don't lok for a sensitive factor don't look for be fully die-cut but there will be a color cover booklet and map Most effort will go into making the Mos rules work Specialty short the rules work. Specialty short games or pros that are also good starts for novices is the qoal.

Computer Games: TSG \#7 feedback showed less support for computer games than we'd hoped. Not that there wasn't a lot of enthusiastic interest. It's just that MicroGames and the fantasy role game did better. Price did seem to be a factor based on comments but the Universe idea rated a bit higher than a computerized Metastar system 80. A game that put you into contact with other gamers, computer controlled sophistication, ratings, etc, etc, seemed a natural.

I wish there were a way of doing more than a bare bones game cheap. A $\$ 1,000$ hobby computer won't cut it A $\$ 40,000$ IBM System 32 falls short, too. The advent of 16 K bit MOS RAMs, cheaper peripheral devices, and advanced microprocessor CPUs is going to help. But, it will still cost several times what it takes to get a STELLAR CONQUEST or GODSFIRE to market.

We do have the expertise to do a really superior job on computer games. More effort will go into lowering cost. It may be that an initially simpler version that can cost $\$ 1$ per turn will be offered. or, an even more complex version might be offered for the same price. $\$ 1.25-\$ 1.50$ per turn may seem expensive, but if you are getting $10-20$ hours of play value from each game turn and corresponding with other players, it's dirt cheap A $\$ 1.50$ paperback reads in two to three hours. $\$ 1.50$ in quarters into one of the new video games like TANK, BIPLANE, etc. is gone in fifeen to twenty minutes. So, before you sneer at $\$ 1.25$, think about what other entertainments cost. Sure, a stand-alone game like列 areds of hours of play by two to ix gamers; but, you don't get such ould \$3.00 per month for uniqu $\$ 2$. o $\$ 3.00$ per mont s pretty cheap

Let us know your thoughts and ideas on computer games. A more de tailed feedback form based on your comments will tell us better how to do it.

Good gaming
Good gaming,

# Speculations <br> SOME SUGGESTIONS FOR REVISION 

by Steve Jackson

TRIPLANETARY has to be considered a first-class wargame. Because of its SF slant, it will never command the following of, for instance, DIPLOMACY. However, it is an excellent example of its genre. The adaptation of "pulp" space opera concepts to viable game situations, the clean design of the board and counters, and, most of all, the classically elegant simulation of inertial motion and gravity make it both a challenge to the gamer and a great escape from reality

Still, there are several problems with TRIPLANETARY. A number of factors are not handled in the most elegant way possible, or involve unnecessary artificialities. To a certain extent, of course, these criticisms are only matters of taste. However, I think the game may be tightened up. This article will present a number of suggestions for rule changes which retain the game's flavor while improving play

## The Board

Triplane problem with the small. In order the Solar System on represent most sized hexsheet, on one moderate take great liberties with scale to put all the planets on one side of the Sun To add insult to injury, ships are prohibited to leave board. Violators are considered eliminated.

This is not only completely artificial (an "edge of space"?) but frustrating as well. When a space bupposedy endless too far it hurts. But the hexsheet is is limited, whether we like it or about the boundaries. So, why not make the rule.
'Ships may not voluntarily leave the board for any reason. They nay, however, leave while disabled ended hexsheet an incinitely ex ended to return under their own power iust return the board ast return by the shortest possible route, including overload maneuver
ing. (An extra hexsheet is helpful in figuring this). Ships which leave under other circumstances are elimi nated.

This is both more realistic (although still artificial) and much less frustrating. Note, too, that although you may not pursue a dis abled enemy off the board, you can set up a reception committee at the point where he must return.

## Mines and Torpedoes

Under the present rules, tor pedoes are much too deadly. Mines, on the other hand, are too weak. A torpedo-lauching ship pushes a circle of near-certain death, three hexes in diameter, in front of it If such a ship is heading toward you at any rate of speed you're dead. This isn't battle; it's slaughter. Boring, too.

As for mines: why have them self-destruct after five turns? Mines are much more useful as per manent obstructions than as tempo rary nuisances. And, I think, they should be stronger

Furthermore, the present rules impose no cost penalty for the use of mines and torpedoes. It seems more realistic to require that such ordnance be paid for at the time it is loaded onto a ship

We can replace the present types of ordnance with two others "smart mines" and "dumb mines." (We11, they're easy to remember.

$$
\text { Smart mines cost } 1 / 2 \text { point. }
$$

They do not attack the ships, bases mines, etc., of their owner, because they are equipped with a device which identifies (possibly by coded beacon) friendly units. Smart mines will not attack asteroids if they are dropped, stationary, in the asteroid hex; however, they will attack asteroids if they are moving

Dumb mines cost $1 / 4$ point They will attack anything.

Both types of mine attack in the same way. Any unit that enters mined hex, or any unit in a hex that mines enter, has a $50 \%$ chance of being attacked by each mine. Roll the dice: on a 1,2 , or 3 the mine attacks. Otherwise, it did not sense and home in on that target.

When a mine has several targets to choose from, it prefers, in order asteroids, other mines, bases and ships in order of size. (Freighters tankers and liners are all slightly smaller than Frigates.) If a mine does not attack the preferred tar
get, it rolls to see whether the next is attacked, and so on. Thus, if a mine enters an asteroid hex containing a dreadnaught and a cor sair, it rolls, first, for the asteroids; then (if it misses) for the dreadnaught, and then (if it misses again) for the corsair. If the mine misses all its targets, it continues unaffected

If and when a mine actually attacks, no special CRT is needed The mine attacks with a combat strength of 4 , with no reductions. A mine which makes an attack is destroyed, regardless of its effect on the target

Since any mumber of smart mines may be placed in the same hex, their attacks are combined into one roll.

A ship may drop one mine per turn. The mine retains the dropping ship's velocity. A ship which drops a dumb mine must change course on the following movement phase or be attacked by the mine.

Smart mines are good for de fending planets or bases. Dumb mines are excellent for offense, or for "sweeping" enemy smart mines (Note that hostile mines in the same hex have a 75\% chance of destroying each other, since both attack.)

## Asteroids: Detection

The system given in the rules is neither completely unambiguous or completely realistic. Suggested revision:

Ships and bases have a normal detector range of 3 and 5 hexes respectively, in clear space. Asteroid hexes, though, count double Thus, one clear hex and one asteroid hex are the limit of a ship's de tection. Two asteroid hexes exceed the limit; the ship CANNOT detect into the second one. A base could letect up to two asteroid hexes and one clear hex, but could not detect into a third asteroid hex."

## Asteroids: Combat

It is only logical--and adds interest to the game--to assume that asteroids interfere with gunfire. herefore, for combat in the aste roids, subtract one from the die oll for every asteroid hex in the tine of fire. This is in addition o all subtractions for range and

## Simultaneous Movement

At its best, this is still
slower than sequential movement, and should not be attempted by novices. However, for those for whom astroga
tion and combat-odds calculation have become intuitive, it may improve the game. Certainly it is mor realistic

All instructions are written A ship may be ordered to accelerate to the hex northeast of its projected endpoint - this is "a". The next hex clockwise is "b", and so on. (See Figure 1.) An overload maneuver may be indicated, for in stance, as "aa." Ships not ordered to accelerate move to their projected endpoints

Combat instructions specify what ship(s) are to fire at what target(s). Obviously, odds cannot be computed until we see where the enemy ships went. If two ships move into the same hex, either may attempt to ram after combat results are applied

The counterattack rule may then be dispensed with. Note, though that this eliminates up to half the potential combat in a given Game Turn. Where two equally matched ships might have fired at each other twice in one turn (one player's fire, opponent's counterattack opponent's fire, first player's counterattack), each now shoots only once. Situations which did not permit counterattack (i.e., attacks on very small or unarmed ships) are of course unaffected. Thus, the average engagement lasts longer, giving the underdog a better chance to escape.

## Rendezvous

Ships which match course and position for transfer of fuel, weaponry, etc., are said to be "rendezvoused." This has advantages but in reality it would also have drawbacks which should be reflected in the rules.

First: In scenarios where some ships are "undetected" until they approach an enemy ship, any unde tected ship which rendezvoused with a detected ship is itself detected. (Rationale: Whatever is being used to keep track of detected ships-long-range cam-operated tracking scopes, maybe--would certainly notice the new ship as well.)

Second: Two or more rendezvoused ships may be attacked in one roll. (After all, it would be difficult to hit one withouthitting the other.) The dice are rolled once, to determine the accuracy of the shot. The odds are then calculated separately for each ship Example: A Frigate fires on a Tanker rendezvoused with a Corsair The roll, adjusted for vector and velocity, is a 3 . This means that the Corsair is D2 (since Frigate
vs. Corsair is 8 vs. 4 or $2-1$ ),
while the Tanker is D4 (since Frigate vs Tanker is 8 vs. 1 , but 4 to 1 is the maximum.) If you are playing with the counterattack rule, all counterattacking ships attack together

This effectively doubles the firepower of a ship attacking rem dezvoused ships, but is actually more realistic. He's not dividing his fire --he's attacking one big target, some parts of which are more vulnerable than others. Thus, de fensive strengths are compared, separately, to the attacking strength.

When this rule is in effect, a player must state which, if any, of than one are in a given hex.

This rule raises interesting tactical problems, expecially in the Piracy scenarios, when it may be necessary to forego a shot at the pirate in order to avoid doing much more damage to an innocent bystander.

Refueling, Maintenance, and the Overload Maneuver

The rules state that (1) ships may transfer fuel back and forth, (2) refueling ships "undergo minor maintenance concurrently, and (3) minor maintenance renews a ship's ability to utilize the very useful double-burn, or overload maneuver

Strict reading of the rules, obviously, would let two ships in cooperation undergo indefinite overloads.

It is suggested that minor maintenance, permitting the doubleburn, only occurs when a ship refuels at a base. It might be best to limit this even further: the ship must land on (or stop at) the base. orbits (of a planet) or flybys (of a base), suffice for refueling, but not maintenance.

## Heroism

Disabled ships often run the risk of leaving the game map, or of colliding with planets and asterrods. Any ship which successfully rescues such a disabled ship, and returns to a planet, becomes heroic." Thus saith the rulebook Heroic status makes a ship fight better.

Cute idea. Unfortunately, nowhere in the rules is it explained, or even implied, HOW a ship can rescue or aid a disabled comrade. Maybe figuring it out is the heroic part? You could transfer fuel, but that won't get it un-disabled any faster.

There are three possibilities: (1) A ship, by rendezvousing with a disabled ship, could aid in repair work. That ship would become un-disabled at 2D/turn, twice the normal speed. Thus, a D4 ship could operate in only two turns.
(2) A ship might take another ship in tow by matching course, linking up, and expending two fuel points per course change (if the towed ship is the same size or smaller) or three (if it is bigger.)
(3) This is the one I like. You could forget about the "heroism" rule entirely. If you're really the heroic type, your play will show it.

Try these suggestions out: you may like them. A good game is worth improving.


Figure 1. Notation for simultaneous movement. Y represents the ship's present position, X its position last turn. The solid arrow, therefore, was its movement last turn; the heavy dotted arrow is its "projected" movement. That is, the ship will move to $Z$ if it expends no fuel

By burning one unit of fuel, the ship may alter its course along any one of the dotted 1 ines, ending up through "f".

Thus, one letter can be used to describe the course change, if any, ordered for each ship.


THE SOVIET MANNED SPACE PROGRAM: THE NEXT THREE YEARS

by Robert Taylor

In attempting to analyze the Soviet manned space program and its future plans, one faces the task of trying to focus on an enigma. The Russians are still extremely secretive (although not to the degree of past years) about their space pro gram. They do not announce their missions or their objectives in ad vance, nor do they volunteer much specific information even after successful flight.

Yet a manned space program by its very nature is a rather visible thing. During the Apollo-Soyuz mission, the United States learned a great deal about Soviet capabilie and imitations. Through defectors spy satellites, and sometimes
nadvertentiy through the Russians themselves, more information has been gained about the status of the Soviet space program.

It is the intention of this article to synthesize that information, and present a broad picture of what to expect from the Soviets over the next three years.

In general terms, the Soviets will probably launch three to five missions a year. Each mission will utilize the Soyuz space-craft and the Salyut space station, where scientific, military, and engineer ing activities will be carried out It is highly probable that on pendent of the Salyut (when the Russians are testing (when the Such was the case Such was the case an hardware to bes ed future un hardware to be used on future un satellites
Beginning in 1978 , cosmonauts from Eastern European countries will fly as flight engineers on Soyuz course be commanded by Soviet pilot but this type of cooperate pilot, but this type of cooperation will be of major propaganda value to the Russians. The cooperation may something it sorely needs- fresh something it sorely

The main objectives of the Soviets over the next three years will be a permanent manned space station. They are certain to attempt this before the U.S. space shuttle can begin constructing an American space station

This objective is within Soviet capabilities, but barely. Soviet imofold First, the hardware are twofold. lems: The Soyuz spacecraft, the is not the engineering equal of the U. S Gemini vehicle which last flew in 1966 . The Soyuz does not have an in lard computer, its inertial onboard computer, is suspect guidance system is suspect, most quoting a U.S. astronaut, "almost all activities aboard the Soyuz ar controlled from the ground even controlled from the ground, even life-support and safety features are very limited, and the spacecraft's overall structural design is faulty and weak. The worst aspect of the soyuz is its flight acer five per cent of all Soyuz missions have been failures, and two flights ended fatally But Soyuz is only ended example of the uncertain bility of soviet

In the field
scans have made few rocketry, the Russians have made few advances Since Gagarin's flight. They have gines of any great power since they gines of any great power since they
do not have the metals to withstand the high temperatures.

Russian electronic and computer systems are years behind the West In fact, the Soviets often buy Japanese or West German equipment, when they fail to miniaturize components of their own.

The second limitation, and the most damaging, is the Soviet approach to space flight The Russians are very conservative in their thinking. They pursue narrow and limited goals. The management behind the Soviet program is quite poor. It is dominated by bureaucratic inefficiency and political demands. Most problems are dealt with in isolation. A problem maybe solved, but its causes are usually glossed over. Three different Soyuzes, as an example, have failed to dock. This is representative of a lack of technical thinking and weak system control

This conservative approach has stymied any innovations. As $10 n g$ as a piece of equipment works most of the time, the Soviets see little need to improve it. As a result, there have been few improvements in any of their hardware or systems, and very little thinking toward any redesign.

With all these shortcomings how will the Soviets achieve their objective of a permanent manned space station? Essentially through over kill. No matter how poor the
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management, how faulty the equip ment, enough missions will succeed to get the job done

The Russians have enormous resources. They have and can pour huge amounts of materials; large numbers of scientists, engineers, whatever is needed to reach their goals. And among those resources is money. The Soviet space budget U.S. program. This method is waste ful, costly and dangerous, but it wili work.

The actual configuration of the space station will probably be limited to two or three Salyuts joined together. The reputed Saturn-5 class booster of the Russians is still experiencing difficulties, and there have been some reports the booster has been scrapped.

Therefore, it will take a series of launches to get up the necessary material. Construction will require EVA. Something the Soviets haven't attempted since 1969 , but they do have the ability This space station will have a crew capacity of six , and will be resupplied by unmanned Soyuz type vehicles.

The purpose of this space sta tion will be varied, but its major emphasis will be on military reconnaissance. Both Salyut three and five were military space stations, and the Soviets are eager to have a permanent on station orbital
reconnaissance system. Their current unmanned method is both severely limited and unreliable.

There will of course be a great deal of scientific work done. The Soviets are developing a new tele scope for planetary studies, and they will continue to investigate such areas as crystal growth and earth resources photography.

For the next three years, while the U.S. space program is dormant, the Soviets will dominate the headlines. They will probably score many space firsts, and perhaps pull off a thinking, their limited technology, and their emphasis on military oriented flights the Soviet space program will remain a narrow and self-constrained endeavor trying to conquer an area where unbridled imagination and initiative are the most important assets.

In TSG\#6 a very interesting article appeared. It was written by Lynn White, and was named "SPECULATIONS: Space War Games-Avoiding Cliches." In it, the author pointed out that technological progress, typified by automation, transmuta tion, and fusion power, would eventually result in a world of abundance and leisure. This article is to carry her ideas a little Earther.

For one thing, very complicated mechanisms will be controlled by individuals with computer-link im plants. These implants (see Pournelle's article in the September : 76 Galaxy) will feed information directly into the brain as though you were remembering it. This will allow you to keep track of many different factors (such as a space fleet) as well as have instant knowledge of anything in the computer's memory banks. Expertise will no longer be knowledge of something, but rather experience in doing it. The human race, therefore, will have three different pastimes, come the millenium: the search for knowledge, the creation of art and sport (warfare comes under this category), and the pursuance of public business.

Do not be deceived by this utopian picture; there will be competition and conflict. Rivals for power (such as is left) for a woman, man, or boy, or leadership in a the or the other come into conflict. And they will not be easy to control, as eacmies at on armies at a thought. For safety's sake a "code duello" will be deve-
loped.
H.

How will these duelers fight? I suppose there will be a great variety in the methods used, from pistols at dawn for traditionalists seurs. And there may indeed be connoisseurs, for death may not be serious anymore (memories in the computer could be fed into a cloned body after the first one's demise) 01d age will also thus be dealt with so that time may easily be spent on a long-term interstellar duel on a long-term interstellar duel

To see how such a duel may be performed, let us use Stellar Conquest.

Each player is put at a star system, which he may never leave, with nothing but himself and his transmutor. He has all the technolo gical levels, but may only buy SCTs ATKs, ESCs, DNs, MBs, and AMBs - no PFS, IUs, RIUs, or CTs. The trans mutor puts out 120 IU's each yearnot just every production year. One may also buy another transmutor by expending 120 IUs. MBs and AMBs may only be emplaced on the home system and no moving transmutors. Object of the game-to win decisively by destroying all the MBs and AMBs of the enemy's home system, thus killing him; or to win marginally by having more stars occupied or last passed through by your ships at the end of turn 40. For two player games use either Ceti and Canis or Scorpii and Bootis and ignore the other half of the board. For three player games use Diphda, Aurigae, and Tauris or
any other reasonable combination. For four player games use Scorpii, Canis, Ceti, and Bootis.

Now, the reasons for these rules. For one thing, most of the other stars are used by people un involved in the spat, and so cannot be used directly, though the spaces around these stars will be fought for by the robot ships. For this reason, and to limit the spread of combat so the authorities can control it, the duelers have to stay in their particular systems. The duel ers will use the same technology from fairness and from lack of re search personnel (with only one human on the planet, and him busy running a war, not much $R$ \& $D$ can be done). And no PFS-it defeats the entire purpose of the duel.

So now, like the gods of old, go and enjoy your wars!


## feature

## LASER WEAPONS COMPARED TO PROJECTILE WEAPONS

by Steven List
for is a systems sheet for GODSFIRE. Enjoy it and hold on for the game. It will be out in time to play at Christmas.


Charles Bowles, recent article on laser weapons was an interesting survey of currently available technology, but I think he failed in one important respect: a comparison of laser weapons to conventional weapons quantitative terms. The table shown at end of article gives data on several "popular German weapons of WW II, with the final column, Muzzle Energy, being the kinetic energy of the projectile as it leaves the gun tube. The chemical explosive that some or the projec thes carry is not counted. That is, the energy transferred to the target is solely a function of the energy output of the gun.

Muzzle energy is chosen as equivalent to Mr. Bowles output power figure. Just as a laser beam in air loses energy through thermal blooming and other dissipative mechanisms, a projectile slowed by atmospheric drag loses kinetic energy. When the projectile has slowed o about $7 \%$ of its muzzle velocity, it has already lost half its muzzle energy. Kinetic energy is proportional to the square of the velocity, but so is the drag. Therefore, as the projectile slows, drag decreases and less speed hiost hs the laser power loss to thermal blooming are related the ther istance, the power level falls off faster. To make a meaningful weapons, an exact range should be weapons, an exact range should be specified. But for qualitative purposes, a comparison of muzzle energy to power output is valid. a joule is a watt-sec, the thermally-pumped gas-dynamic laser Mr . Bowles cites must operate for a full second to put out 60,000 joules. This is little better than one percent of the over 5 million joules of kinetic energy for the King Tiger gun. While it is 15 times as much as the small arms round, a typical machine gun could fire about 10 round per second, making that advantage minimal; modern small arms such as the M16 or AK47 have even higher rates of fire and muzzle ve locities; - on a time rate of energy output (i.e. power), thern infantry about the same man's weapon.

Another problem is weight and power supply. With no more than 20 pounds of rifle, ammo and accessories, a single man is very mobile ave iloa what the weight fthis aver is but an out effic but requires 60000 KW of \% eff. nput. For a thermally-pumped lase illion joules per second This ilion joules per second. This heat has to come from somewhere, and in oncentrated form (the heat is pre serage-sized indow ir cond erage sized window air condition er needs about an hour to pull six is not jouletical ource) which is not a practical source), which indicates some sort of chemical reing fuel Aburn ing fuel at atmospheric pressure. A galue of 146 million joules Assuming as much as $80 \%$ of this heat can me eser the fuel consumption of con tinuous operation would be about 3 allons per minute of course, ther allons pays of generating heat, but they would require heavier
quipment. After all, the gum quipient burning fuel un is a sure in order to extract mechanical ure in order to ork ratherdlan heat

Regardless of the way the heat s obtained, even if $100 \%$ is pumped nto the laser it will waster $99 \%$. In one second, for 60,000 joules of
 aste heat must be disposed of cubic centimeters of iron.
Examine the pulsed eiectrical CO laser which is $24 \%$ fficient 2 aser, which is 24. erficient no puts out 200 joules per pulse the German machine gun bullet a he German machine gun bullet, ereh its pulses/sec at 2000 joules/pulse kilowatts To get this putput from a 24 efficient electrically rom a plectric power which is about the output of a utput en ine. Sure there are more fficient power sources such as fuel cells but they all have the fuel cells, but they all have their is that a laser with power doutput or antomatic ifle with current technology would require a vehicle to cart and it's power supply around Nobod is going to bother unless it's worth

Is it worth it? Well, in little over a second, the thermal laser's 60 KW output (neglecting losses in air) will vaporize a cubic centic meter of iron. This is dandy if you want to shoot at one centimeter cubes of iron which will obligingly hold still for as much as a second. Don't, however, plan on making little craters in the side of a tank with such shots. Even assuming you can keep your laser beam on the same spot of a moving target several thousand meters away, you won't even warm it up. Iron (of which armor plate is an alloy) is a tremendous conductor of heat. To melt a hole in it, you have to put heat in faster than it can disappear by conduction. And a 60 ton tank can absorb a lot of heat before getting very warm. An automatic rille won't put a hole in a tank no matter how many shots hit the same spot; a laser of this power won t either. (A short digression is in order. Some may argue that a laser beam is hotter than the 1600 or so degrees of an oxy-acetylene torch, which cuts iron quite readily. However, the torch cuts the iron by providing an excess of oxygen, Which at the elevated temperature literally causes the iron to burn up. Also, such a torch is very poor at punching holes in the middle of a thick plate.)

Since a rifle can kill a man nuch more easily and economically than the laser, its use as an anti personnel weapon is distinctly secondary. What other targets might be worthwhile? Vehicles are outthose worth the effort are too well armored. Nobody builds armor plated aircraft. The thin skin of an air plane would be far easier to melt holes in than armor plate, and most of the interior components are unprotected. It is far easier to hit a plane with a beam of light than with a projectile, as well, but there are still serious problems. Most aircraft have highly-polished skins that would reflect most of the incident energy-meaning incredible
power rates would be required to ge sufficient energy onto the target in a very brief time. To make an effec tive anti-aircraft or anti-missile system, much greater laser efficiencize are required, with a computer ized target aquisition and ranging system to bring a converging beam to a point-focus on a rapidly moving target.

Considering the power levels involved, Mr. Bowles infantry laser weapon is currently impossible. And unless the waste heat problem is solved, we would indeed have "a very interesting picture of night combat, as a firearm-equipped sniper with an infra-red viewer would have a wealth of targets literally light ing up like beacons with each shot fired.

Do lasers have any future a weapons, as opposed to their use as triggers, ranging devices, etc Maybe not on earth, but quite possconducted on Research is being conducted on nuclear-pumped lasers which have a gas laser tube coated with uranium oxide. Slow neutrons are directed into the tube. These neutrons react with uranium nuclei to cause fission and the release of more neutrons in a chain reaction. The energy released pumps the laser. current mab models of such units are woefully inefficient, with power outputs about that of a flashlight. But far greater efficiencies could be achieved if the neutron source were combined with the laser. The tube of the laser would contain both the lasent gas and a gaseous nuclear fuel, forming laser and reactor in one. The total available energy of the reactor would emerge in the laser beam, providing power in the gigawatt range with negligible heat waste. Such units are envisioned as orbital power plants, beaming energy to remote users such as spaceships or lunar installations. Needless to say, a laser with that much power would be a formidable weapon. About the only drawback would be the inability to rapidly turn it on or off.

## WEAPON TABLE

Weapon Projectile (kg)

Muz.Vel.(m $/ \mathrm{sec}$ ) Muz.Energy(joules)
7.92 mm - rifle, machinegun 20 mm L/55 - Lt.tank, arm. car
75 mm L/43 - Pzr IV f2 "Special"
75 mm
L/70 - Panther tank
88 mm
L/56 - Tiger tank, Flak $88 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{~L} / 71$ - King Tiger tank
.0128
.115
6.8
6.8
9.4
10.4
770
800
740
935
810
1000

[^1]
## by William Brogden

In my opinion, this game breaks new ground for science fiction gaming and for war gaming. The major innovation is a way of handling novement and combat on three level space ships, atmosphereic craft, and ground forces. Another innova tive aspect is the extremely asymet ric distribution of forces. The invading Terran player has over Whelming force, so ayer consists of putting Ythri player consists of putting 15 turns

Space warfare typically lasts only a few turns, during which the Ythri space force attempts to delay the invasion and to destroy as many troop transports as possible. This is a very critical phase for the thri player, and good or bad luck here can have a large influence on the rest of the game. I think the novement and field of fire rules are extremely good; accounting for inertia in terms of turning ability is nicely handled, and I really like the orbiting guardian sa tellites.

Combat on the planet's surface works well, with the atmospheric and ground forces having different movement and sphere of influence rules. However, based on playing 8 or 10 games, it seems to me that the Ythri player has rather restricted defense strategy possibilities. This is due in part to a lack of guerrilla tactics which the highiy mobile native forces could utilize
to interfere with the movement of Terran forces and supplies. The Ythri atmospheric units can give some flexibility if unopposed, so it is extremely important for the Ythri player to destroy Terran atmospheric unit transports in the space warfare phases

The instructions are veryclear with good examples and have been kept simple enough so you can begin play very rapidly, yet the game has a lot of depth. There is a big psychological difference between the sides of the game which reflect the spirit of the novel it is based on. As the Ythri, you watch the huge Terran war machit hope to on your planet. You can't hope to beat them, but you may be able to hold out un til external political forces mak the Terrans withdraw. As the Terrans, you almost feel sorry for the pitifully small Ythri forces, but they turn out to be surprisingly hard to eliminate

This is an innovative and mind-stretching game with a lot to offer, both as the basic game and as a base to build on.


PRESENT AT THE BIRTH OF MONSTERS: the evolution of a game
by Ken St. Andre
In a way it is all the fault of Steven McAllister, he whose name is immortalized in the PetersMcAllister chart in Tunnels \&Trolls. We had only been experimenting with the most basic rules of $T$ \& $T$ for a couple of weeks when he rejected the notion of Monster Ratings and started to individualize the creatures in his dungeons. The first result of such a fairness policy was the chart that regularized the creation of Elves, Dwarves, Fairies, Hobbits, Trolls, Orcs, Giants, etc.
After that, the very nature of Tunnels \& Trolls, and my own sense of fair play, made the development of Monsters! Monsters! inevitable. For a fair description of how the game actually arose I refer you to my introduction in Monsters! Monsters! It only remains to say that M!M! already existed in rough form as early as November 1975, and that Howard Thompson had agreed to print it as early as January 1976.

This article really has to be a designer's explanation of two games in one, because 1 can't really talk about the guiding principles of M!M! without actually talking about T \& T at the same time. T G T start ed as a revolt against needless complexity in
I don't like $4 \frac{\text { Dungeons and Dragons. }}{\frac{\xi}{2} 22} 20$ sideddice


Such polyhedrals are difficult for the average person to acquire and are also quite expensive. (Since I was unemployed at the time, I was strongly opposed to anything that inflated the price of gaming, and on strictly anti-inflationary prin ciple I still oppose the kind of elaborate equipment that raises the cost of my gaming. I am probably a minority of one opposing the use of miniatures in fantasy gaming, preferring the picture in my own imagination to a piece of painted plastic every time.) So guiding principle number 1 was: whenever conventional 6-sided cubes that anyconventional 6 -sided cubes that one could go down to t
drugstore and acquire.

The next thing I wanted to do was make a player-character sice created attributes directly applicable to the play of the game. Thus, heavier weapons required greater individual When using magic, higher level spelis are equivalent to heavier, more powerful quired more strength to cast them. What is the point of giving a character a Constitution rating if you're not going to use it for any thing? So I defined Constitution as how much damage it took to kill a person. Luck has always played an important part in my own life, and from my extensive reading in the field of heroic fantasy (and just plain history) it has always seemed that luck was a major factor in the success of any kind of hero Logically, when one is in a situa tion where nothing else can help luck can still come through and save your neck. Thus, a character's luck became the sole basis for Saving Rolls (which are used when a character needs a chance to save himself from something unpleasant). Here I must disagree with something Steve Jackson changed in the rules of M!M! On page 29 there is a dis cussion of Saving Rolls, and there is a column labeled minimum roll required. Since a character's likelihood of making it's Saving Ro11, is meant to depend solely upon it's luckiness, the minimum saving roll required would always remain the same. I like the number 5 on 2 dice because it is truly a minimum, very easy to make but yet not automatic. I have no hassle with those who say 5 is too easy and move the number up to 7. The reason for putting a minimum on Saving rolls in the first place was so they wouldn't become automatic (Say you have a Gremlin with luck of 24,20 minus $24=-4$.

He would automatically make all first and second level Saving Rolls, but that's not fair, because even On thest of luck fails sometimes. (even other hand it is not easy again) to make a 9 or an 11 on demand. It also unfairly penalizes characters who have built up their luck rating to a fairly high level The Saving Roll for a character with a luck of 28 should be 7 on the fourth level of difficulty, not 11 The other attributes also have a direct bearing on the play of the game. A snollygoster with a dexterity of 3 will not turn into a deadly missile weapon fighter.

Another very important aspect of the design of M!M! is the premise that characters who mana to survive will be able to improve themselves. Personal growth is most of the incentive to continue playing the game. Individual ratings may get to incredibly high levels by the standards of other game like D \& D, but within the T \& T/M!M! universe. No matter how high ratings in an attribute get, they will be internally consistent. Thus, while it is rare to have a human character with a gigantic strength of 60 , it is not impossible, and ranting that there was such a character, the extra advantages that it derives from such super that iter are quite reasonable. One of the pitfalls of this kind of onended attribute system is the incredibly generous or thoughtless game master who paves his tunnel complexes and city streets with diamonds and enchanted doodads that double all attributes without the character really doing any work ex cept to pick up the goodies. This becomes a form of monster creation all its own, and can lead to ridiculousness, with gremlins or hobbits more powerful than any 3 giants. However, such problems tend to be self-correcting. Game Masters have the right to forbid the use of such absurd characters, or alternately the whole game turns into something done purely for laughs, and everyone has such a good time being silly that you don't mind the absurdity of it all. Personally, it is my belief that magical benefits should be hard to come by, both for humans and monsters, which will then limit attribute growth to what could reasonably result from a character's efforts to improve itself

The thing that pleases me the nost about $T$ \& $T$ and $M!M$ ! is the sheer open-endedness of it. I try very hard to get the players deeply
involved in their own intensely per sonal creative efforts. For T \& T ou are expected to design at lea really expect the players to design their own city. That is a lot of work, but the rewards are tremen dous... and educational. When you get into city planning, the de tails of how this place couldreally ork, you will learn a tremendous mount about what life must have been like in the Middle Ages. If you want to run a city of 20,000 people, ou have to provide a way to feed them, a reason for 20,000 people to be in one place, a world or at least nation for them to be a part of, and dozens (or hundreds) of other details. Once the geography is taken etare of you must be ready to evoke the personality of potentially any ne of the 20000 inhabitants Not neryone the invading monsters will run into in this city is going to be fearless member of the guard who ravely leaps up and attacks every troll he sees Probably the most fun I ever had creating characters Krosht was the time the troll tore down an outer wall of a tore down an outer wall of a
brothel near the city wall and found a houseful of women, one or two of whom were actually goodlooking. For the rest of the trip I amused the players by having these captive whores try to seduce heseore humanoid onsters, all the hile demanding the most outrageous hile demanding the most outrageous fees

In fact, the possibilities for invention are endless. In M!M! we ive you 52 different varieties of onster, but that is not to imply ters in the glossary A thorough sters in the glossary. A thorough
 bea how to go about creating he monster of your choice. Suppose wish to have a choice. Suppose can have it. Imagine the dismay of city guardsman who thinks he has city guardsman who thinks he has pprehended a normai thiet who turns into an eagle right befor is popping eyes. Let us say you reason why you can't have T. reason why you can thave Rex unning rampant. No IQ to speak of and very littie dexterity, but dragon and a constitution to match Say Tolkien is not your favorite athor--you don't care about Elves Darves, Orcs, and Hobbits. Instead ou dig the Arabian Nights and would rather have an Afrit, or a an-eating camel. All you have to o is persuade your friendiy game master to let you ransack the
place with a genie. Just don't be surprised when a corps of 7 th level wizards show up to greet you, just happening to be in town for a wizard's convention that weekend. Essentially this is what Liz did in painting the front cover, invented her own brand new monsters--the green beastie--right on the spot. And we did the same thing with the Shadowjack, inventing, as a type, character who had been an individual in a Zelazny novel.
have tried to avoid repeating information about the game actually given in the rules for M!M! or T \& T. I haven't said much about why magic is the way it is, or how the combat system works. For hose who read the rules, the logic and the problems are apparent. I would like to note that there really should be an asterisk on the "Take that you fiend!" spe11, he effect being to multiply the caster's IQ by the level the spell is cast on.

I'd like to say a few words about the problems of producing his kind of role-playing head game. It looks easy. If you are an average reader you can get through the rules of M!M! in about half an hour. But it is like writing a salable story in that one must be areful in what one says. The object in M!M! has been to give all the information necessary to set up and play the game without going on in boring length on any one thing. I have also tried to be amusing about it, and Steve Jackson seems to have felt the same. The whole thing has been proofread at least a dozen times to try and eliminate contradictions between something explained one way on page 8 and completely different on page 32 ast, and most difficult, is the ask or getting your artist to come orth with material to bring ani nation to what starts as a bunch pounds and buckets of cred give punds and buckets of credit to in manforth whose graphics are, in my opinion, easivy the rinest Even though whole M!M! production Even though 1 had to spend all my spare time cajoling her to draw, offer incentives like cash out of my pocket and a cut of the profits forever, and almost camp in her living room at times to keep her brushes to the easel, this game never would have seen the life of print without her artwork behind it. My advice to other game designers is make friends with as many fabulous artists as you can, and when your're ready to publish, include as much art as you can get.

PROJECT FEEDBACK

## MAN PLUS

by Frederik Pohl
In Tonka, Oklahoma veiled in a near perfect security web is the Man Plus Project. This project has one simple goal: create a cyborg capable of existance on the planet Mars.
The race to colonize Mars is on and the U.S. must win if WW III is to be avoided. Roger Torraway, hero-astronaut, is about to become a super sophisticated, $\$ 20$ bil lion Martian. He will be torn apart and be campletely rebuilt. All that will be left of the human Roger Torraway is a human brain and his very much slowed down heart. The rest will be machine. A very fine machine with a single goal: Roger would be placed on the surface of Mars ahead of the Cormunists, and he must survive. He would be given a newly designed computer to aid him, but it will be up to Roger to bring about success.
Fred Pohl has done a masterful job with this, his latest book. It is a well writte example of science fiction at its best. Then the incredible character of Roger Torraway, complete with multiple scan eyes rhino hide skin, "bat wings" that absorb energy directly from the sum, and a new camputer to help interpret anything to unusual, will become an unforgettable cyborg. TSG recamends this book for all those who enjoy fast paced, action stories

## THE WORIDS OF FRITZ LEIBER

This is a brand new collection of short stories by a legend in the fields of horror, fantasy, and science fiction. Fritz Leiber has given me more hours of enjoyment than any other single writer in tie field of speculative fiction. He is a total master of the written word (this year he won another Hugo for "Catch That 7eppel in" which is included in this book) From the introdution by the author:" I believe this collection represents me more completely than any other." Twentytwo stories are included. Don't miss this book!

MAN PLUS is available fram Randam House, but TSG recamends a short wait for the paperback

THE WORIDS OF FRITZ LEIBER is an Ace paperback and carrys a cover price of $\$ 1.95$.
$\frac{\text { Rate }}{6.36}$ Project
6.36 Fantasy Role Playing Game 5.79 MicroGames
5.70 Universe
5.37 Metastar System 80, Board 5.23 Metastar System 80, Computer 4.64 Fantasy Role Computer Game 4.42 The Computer Gamer zine 3.51 The Fantasy Gamer zine

You can see why we won't be spliting up TSG into specialty zines. The answer to improvements would seem to be expanding TSG to make more room for everything.

The generally low ratings in comparison to the game type rates comes from the nature of the project feedback. Readers know we can't do everything. So, as is your right, what was liked was rated very high. What you wanted less rated very low to help what you was really wanted That's the only you can explain a feedback that way rates Fantasy Role games at 7 , hind Society games at 9 then give The game a rate of one andive Metastar a 9.

## GAME TYPE RATINGS

## Rate Game Type

7.52 Future Society Level (FSL)
7.03 Fantasy Role playing (FRP) 7.03 Space Tactical Level (STL) 6.74 Planetary Tactical Combat
6.24 Fantasy Board Game (FBG)

Not too suprisingly the Future Society level game was most popular. Since most of our readers started with us on Stellar Conquest that figures. It's also not too suprising that Fantasy Role Playing and Space Tactical Level do well They are the next most developed type of game on the market. We plan types of SF\&F games even though complex, society level games wil probably be our best specialty.

TSG \#7 FEEDRACK RESULTS
Rate Article
6.79 Starship Troopers: Review
6.61 Intermediate SC Rules
6.31 Dreadnaughts for My Lady
6.31 Game Design Notes
6.21 Orbiting Colonies
6.19 Starship \& Empire: Review
6.00 Warship Design
5.91 Eldritch Wizardry: Review
5.17 Scenario... by Colodiy
5.12 The Birds
6.06 Average all Articles

## GAME RATINGS

| Rate | Game |
| :---: | :---: |
| Fantasy | Role Playing Games |
| 7.70 | Empire of the Petal Throne |
| 7.51 | Greyhawk |
| 7.26 | Dungeons \& Dragons |
| 7.16* | Monsters! Monsters! |
| 6.78 | Eldritch Wizardry |
| 6.35 | Gods, Demi-Gods \& Heroes |
| 6.04 | Blackmoor |
| 5.79 | Tunnels \& Trolls |
| 5.39* | Citadel |
| 5.35 | Royal Armies of Hyborean Age |
| 5.13 | Chairmail |
| Fantasy | Board Games |
| 7.08 | White Bear \& Red Moon |
| 6.76 | Sorceror |
| 6.46 | War of Wizards |
| 5.65 | Dungeon! |
| 5.41 | Siege of Minas Tirith |
| 4.30 | Battle of Helms Deep |
| 4.00 | Battle of Five Armies |
| Planetary Tactical Cambat |  |
| 7.03 | Starguard |
| Future | Society Level |
| 8.17* | Outreach |
| 7.90 | Stellar Conquest |
| 5.97 | Starlord |
| 5.73 | Star Probe |
| Space Tactical Level |  |
| 7.08 | Star Force |
| 6.85 | Triplanetary |
| 6.09 | The Ythri |
| 5.50 | Alien Space |
| Space Role Playing |  |
| 5.00* | Starfaring |

The asterisk indicates that too few ratings have been received for a fully stable score. Stellar Conquest has been going to be popular. Monsters! Monsters is also getting a pretty good reception.

## REVIEWS

SHOOTING IS NOT THE WHOLE STORY: A Review of STARSHIP
by Tony Watson
Every once in a while a really good, innovative game will appear from a small and relatively unknown design group. Such is the case with

It is apparent that some good thinking and design work went into thinking and design work went into system is excellent and achieves its end as well as entertaining the players with a good "feel" of space contact and engagement

The key word is "contact." Starship is not a game of space combat per se; it is a game of contact. starship in a situation governed by one of four different scenario orders with goals kept secret from their opponent. There are four sets of mission orders for both the Interceptor and the Intruder. They range from attempts at peaceful contact to the out and out destruction of the opposing vessel. Levels of victory are determined by comparing the actual outcome of the encounter to three different categories of criteria: Tactical, or military victory; Strategic, or fulfillment of outlined missioned goals; and Command, or violation of certain orders (such as firing when forbidden to, etc). It is possible for a player to win a resounding military victory yet still lose because of a failure to fulfill his strategic goals (a peaceful contact) and/or violating orders (firing at the opposing vessel). It is also quite possible for both players to claim a victory since their objectives may be very diverse.

The actual mechanics of the game are somewhat like many air wargames, including speed, damage, and weaponry status (which are maintained on a series of tracked charts). Sliding counters along the tracks will denote changes in the vessel speed, what weapons are armed, shield strength, and any damage the vessel may have accrued. But most important , the tracks kept record of any critical strain that any of the systems have taken.

Movement and combat are handled in terms of stress upon the spacecraft. Propulsion at higher speeds
places a greater stress, or critica strain. Along certain ranges of the track (low speeds), strain will dissipate by itself; but, if you pass beyond that, the only way to cool the ship is by slowing it down to a very low speed or shutting critical points will result in permanent damage. Permanent damage lowers shield level and hampers speed. Over heating weapons (by loading and firing at a high rate) will fuse them. The trick to staying alive in combat is learning how to handle the excess strain before taking irreparable damage

Combat utilizes anti-matter pods and disruptor cannon. There are also arrestor beams, but they serve as tractor beams and do not cause direct damage. Weapons must be load ed before firing; this takes time as well as causing strain on the wea ponry system. In combat resolution there is no chance element. During the combat phase (there are two a turn), each player reveals his plotted shot of type and range. If the range is correct or overshot the target is hit, with appropriate decline in effectiveness of overshots due to range. Each type of weapon has a damage capability factor, with pods being more effective than disruptor banks, though shorter ranged The weaponry critical strain record keeps track of the strain on those circuits, and it is not difficult for a trigger happy space captain to burn up his guns

Starship utilizes a small map which is three dimensional in design. A distance computation chart as is Starforce is used to determine distances. After moving, the actual distance between the two ships is figured and both are placed on the center of the map at different heights to denote their separation The relative distances between the craft is the important thing, for with the high speeds, the ships are capable of being off the map in no time. However this system also limits play to two ships, unless someone has a good command of trig.

Optional rules allow for reflector screens, computer fire control, or improvements in standard capabilities. This adds even more to the uncertainty of an encounter since these options are kept secret from the other player.

The physical components of the games include: a weaponry record, an
engineering record, a distance computation chart for each player and one map. There is a set of unmounted counters for both sides. Rules are in a twenty page booklet that is generally clear and comprehensive. generally ciear and and Starship is fast paced and

$$
\frac{\text { Starship }}{} \text { is } \text { Sast pace } \text { Sed and }
$$

 and bluff, outmanuver and good planning rather than luck and cer tainly one of the better science fiction tactical system around. Starship is $\$ 6$ from Flying Buffalo Inc., p.O. Box 1467 , Scottsdale Az. 85252 .

## GAME REVIEW: SORCERER

## Linda Brzustowicz

To date, I have read nothing but very complementary reviews of SPI's fantasy game SORCERER. How TSG, SORCERER received an overall grade of only 6.7 (on a scale of to 9 ), compared to a 7.7 by DUNGEONS \&i DRAGONS, and a 7.6 by STELLAR CONQUEST. Hoping to solve this seeming paradox, I bought a copy of SORCERER.
copy I received my first minor
disappointment when I opened the box. Inside I discovered a paper map. I happen to be one of those people who like the durability of board-mounted map, not to mention the additional mobility it gives if you are forced to move the game. However, I managed to quickly over come my dissatisfaction by looking over the rest of the game. The die-cut pasteboard counters were beautifully colored and printed with ingenious silouettes of the various magical and non-magical units they represented. The map too was very colorful, with the 518 one inch hexagons colored pastel shade of the six magical colors, pius rinted right on the map.
My next task was to read the 16 page, $8 \frac{1}{2}{ }^{\prime \prime} \times 11^{\prime \prime}$ rules folder. Aside from the humorous introduc tion and the descriptions of the nine scenarios, the rules read like explanatory writing is good because it makes sure all of the rules are understood. It also makes for very boring reading. Nevertheless, it describes a world in which magic is fully operative. Six magical uni verses overlap a non-magical one,
creating the graylands where no magic works, and the white land where all magic works. In the rest of the land, the dominant form of magic varies
The most important unit in this game is, of course, the sorcerer. Actually, there are a total of 55 sorcerers, although more than The sorcerers have power over one to three colors. This means they can conjure up to five air dragons, three demonic infantry, or two trolls (or a combination of magical units), while they are in a hexagon of their color, each move. The sorcerers can also throw magic bolts (analogous to artillery), from a square of their color to another square of their color, teleport from one square of their color to another (analogous to air transport), undeplete a unit of his color, or conjure or destroy a vortex (a magical storm of chaos). Optional rules provide for some interesting spells and rules, such as invisibility, clones (where a multi-color sorcerer splits into single color sorcerers), assassination details, and the effect of the shifting of the magical universes. There are some suggestions for spells to be developed by the players as the game progresses

All in all, I found SORCERER to be an enjoyable game. The one major point of the game I didn't like was the shallow development of the importance of magic. All the colors did was to divide the map and give units an advantage in one battle that they lost in the next. I would have preferred to see each color as a separate type of magic, with the same overall strength but with different characteristics and limitations.

SORCERER is available from
Simulation Publications Inc. 44 E. 23rd St., New York, N.Y. 10010 for $\$ 9.00$.

To the slowly growing set of fine science fiction board games, add STARSHIP TROOPERS by Avalon Hill, released in July at the second national gaming convention, Origins II, in Baltimore. For the last several years, Avalon Hill has produced only historical war games. However, the renaissance of gaming over the last three years, and the success of science fiction games like STELLAR CONQUEST and STARFORCE has lured this usually conservative game company into producing a truly interesting science fiction game.

SST was apparently produced with the blessings of Robert Heinlein, author of the book by the same name. His letter and signature are on the back of the bookshelf style, multi-colored game box.

The game is truly tactical in scale and in flavor. A hex is one mile across, and one turn is approx imately 12 minutes. The game is designed by Randali Reed, who brought miniature detail to board games with tank vs tank battles in TOBRUK. Unfortunately, TOBRUK als required an excessive amount of dice rolling. Randall Reed recov mechanics are clean and wel1conceived, leaving a game which is accurate to the book, yet eminently playable.

The game, in seven separate scenarios, sets forth the confrontations between the Terrans (earth men) and two alien races: the Skinnies (humanoid and nine feet tal1) and the Arachnids ("a mad man's concept of a giant intelligent spider")

Avalon Hill's fine quality and attention to detail are reflected in the game components and playing aids. The full-color, mounted map board suggests an alien planet with pink mountains, burned-out red desert, green savanas, and rainbowhued city and spaceport. The over500 counters make use of suggestive silhouettes for the main combatants and mobile weapons. A counter represents a single terran (in armored suit), or a unit of enemies Also represented are a full range of S. W. G E. (Special Weapons and Equipment), air cars, engineer units, and even a human with ESP talents. The attractive, two-color booklet includes useful information pictures, and play examples, as well as the full rules. (Incidental ly, lest you be alarmed at first,
the charts for combat and terrain effects are not in the rules book, as the rules state, but on a separate piece of card stock). The rules book uses the "programmed instruction" method tried out in Reed's earlier game, TOBRUK. Scenarios are arranged in increas ing order of difficulty, with new groups of increasingly complex rules introduced for each scenario This structure allows one to start quickly and to digest the rules through the use of bite-size incre ments of detail.

Almost all the interesting and highly destructive weapons are there. The terran player has a status sheet so he can easily keep track of the weapons each of his men is carrying. Included are high explosive rocket launchers, nuclear weapons, delayed action proximity and delayed action remote mines, heavy nerve gas (useful against those spiders), various demolition charges, and heavy beam and missile weapons.
gas has good feel to it (By "feel", I mean the gestalt


AH-HA of seeing reality captured through the fortuitous, artful use of rules). In my third game, four ers with rocket nated their efforts so that within nated theires oble to disrupt a strongpoint and destroy a nearby communications center and power Skinnies could restation before the same, B squad was totally another game, B squad was totally surprised to disgorge heavy beam weapens up to disy Arachnid warriors a separat pad, duplicating the board separate for the secret p the complex Arachnid tunnel systems positioning of Areme the and the placing of demolition charg es for the unwary rules allow for infantry drops the planet with the inevitable scattering retrieval boats, seacons and futrile rocket dures. In later scenarios the ter ran can descend into the Arachnid tunnels to capture an Arachid braid tunnels to capture an Arachnid brain and to free prisoners

STARSHIP TROOPERS is a fine tactical science fiction board game from Avalon Hill. It will be inter esting to compare it with SPI's which is in the same scalay-tested, TROOPER is available from Avalon STARSHIP Hill, 4517 Harford Road, Baltimore Maryland 21214 for $\$ 10.00$ plus $\$ 1.00$ postage.

## NEWS \& PLUGS

## KEN ST. ANDRE WRITES

I have always felt that ideas belong to everyone. Hopefully M!M! will inspire ideas to keep its problems come up (and no matter how problems cone up (and no matter how to) I trust you will be able to read between the wines and solve ead for yourselves, but if you ever feel you want , but if you ver feel you me M!M! anything that has to do with hear from you' My address is to hear from you. My address is 2232 E. Pinchot \#8, Phoenix, Az 85016, and my phone number i 602) and Merry Monstering!

## IINTERCON V

$00+$ man D\&D, Boot Hill and Lankhmar tournament plus Q.and A. D\&D Seminar on Dec. 3, 4,5 at Oakland Univ.. Rochester Mich. Guests: Gary Gygax Brian Blume, Rob Kuntz. For more info: Bill Somers, 1654 Chandler,

## WINTER WAR IV

Annual con of the Conflict Simulation Society at the Univ. of llinois in at the Univ. Or 5,16. Diplomacy. Dates. Jan. 14 , 5,16. Diplomacy, D\&D, Wooden Ships \& Iron Men, more. Write: Rusty utherford 1005 S . Race St. Urbana, Il1., 61801

## WARCON III

Board wargames, fantasy games, etc. with prizes for tournament winner At Texas A. \&M. on Jan. 28, 29,30 Info from: Jerry D. Ruhland OB 6816 Aggieland St. College Station, Tex., 77844.

## GROUND ZERO

A weekend of competitive gaming, strategy discussions \& films. Feb. 19,20 in Jacksonville, Fla More info from: The Cowford Dragoons 5333 Santa Monica Blvd N.
Jacksonville, Fla. 32207 ..

NOTICE***NOTICE
Metagaming Concepts and The Space Gamer announce that we will no longer be able to accept order for games or subscription from foreign countries.

## SPACE HUK

This is a variable-player, limited intelligence, tactical, space wargame. Each player has one or more starships with which he takes on other players. 1 to 9 copies- $\$ 2$ each; 10 or more copies $-\$ 1.50$ each. Send orders to: Scott Rich, 1640 East 1140 North, Logan, Utah, 84321.

## TOLKIFN NOTE

The sept. issue of Mythlore has announced the autumn 1977 publication of THE SILMARILLION by Allen $s$ Unwin. Tolkien was working on this book at the time of his death. It is written in King James Bible style and has been edited by his son Christopher.

## STAR EMPIRES

TSR Hobbies Inc. reports by phone on $10 / 26 / 76$ that the STAR EMPIRES supplement to STAR PROBE will not be ready until late Dec. instead of the originally quoted sept. . . MGC/TSG or another supplier this is the reason for the delay. MGC/TSG has a large back order with TSR and all ship all orders as soon as we will ship all soon as we receive the booklets.

QUICK COMMENTS FROM FEEDBACK SHEET
Editorial:
-excellent
-good somewhere else but not in an SF magazine
-does Howard Thompson enjoy what he is doing? would he be writing this editorial at all without that col lege education? college is exposure to education-and not neccesarily in the classroom.

Dreadnaughts for My Lady:
-great idea, let's see more
-has this character ever read any science fiction?

The Space Warship:
-great idea, poor execution
-drives themselves are very detectable
-superficial
Scenario:
-comic book game?
-cute
Orbiting Colonies:
-covered in Analog
-what about radiation, meteors, eco-
-rehash

## AGGIECON \& WARCON

TSG/MGC will be at AggieCon and War Con. Rumor has it that someone else has already slated a STELLAR CONOUEST tournament. MGC will sponsor at tournament. MGc will sponsor ith a new MicroGame and run a dealer's table a dealer's table with all our products.

## A.F.F.F.\&C.C.C.

The Austin Fantasy Film Festival \& Comic Collectors Convention will be Cot the Stephen $F$. Austin Hotel in Austin Texas on November 19-21. Mustin, TSG will be there part of the time with games for sale, some games to play, and conversation.

## ORIGINS"77

The National Wargaming Expo will be held at Warner College in Staten Island, N.Y. on July 22, 23, 24, 1977 We have very little info, so write ORIGINS '77, Simulations Publications 44 E. 23 rc St. New York, NY 10010.

## EDITOR

Ben Ostrander of Austin has taken over the editor functions of THE SPACE GAMFR Submissions for publication should be sent to him at the TSG/MGC address.

## SF SWIFTIES

by Steve Jackson
"Ha, Kirk! Your weapon is
empty!" cried the Klingon, unfazed. "Nobody can survive 15 Gs ," aid Tom, flatly.
"This spell will protect our party from the giant birds," intoned the wizard impeccably. "I've lost the signal, sir," said Uhura remorsefully.
"What our captors have forgotten is that, on the Moon, anyone could easily jump the Grand Canyon, said Tom with an Evel grin. "I am call Circe," she said charmingly.
"This wind amulet has lost its power,"said Captain Illq'uurth disgusted1y.
jus "Giant insects are suckers for


These illustrations by Winchell chung show a warship and an interstellar base for HYPERWAR, one of several MicroGames currently being designed. HYPERWAR will be a tactical ship combat game played without dice or other random elements. Combat will be res and allocation of ship power the defense and orfense. Player design their own ships; as the game progresses, technology improves and more powerful ships can be built.


FORCE FIELD GENERATOR

Avalon didn't want to fight. But that didn't mean it couldn't. As the Terran Empire found out - the hard way - when it tried to invade...
They had underestimated тне
THE YTHRI is based on Poul Anderson's Hugo Awardnominated novel, The People of the Wind.

THE YTHRI is a game of invasion from space and planetary combat for two to four players. It can turn any science fiction fan into a wargamer - and vice versa.

Includes: Rule booklet / $14 \times 17$ '' space map / $17 \times 18$ '" Avalon map / 242 perforated counters / combat results tables
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## $\epsilon$ DSFIRE

The Tax Phase. Cars quit when they're out of gas; governments stop when they're out of money. Players must win military victory, but that is difficult with an inadequate economy and a rebellious population. Victory is based on adequate revenue willingly given and cunningly used.

Effect of Subversion. Subversion pushes regions to revolt, eliminating them as tax and production sources and disrupting the player's party balance.

Scenario Four. As the worlds of the Narym woke, the Bosses were overthrown one by one. Limited representative government appeared...
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## Letiers

## Dear editor:

In TSG \#7 Norman Apperson wrote an excellent letter on the difficulties of hitting a target with laser fire at the 300,000 mile figure I mentioned in my laser article in TSG \#5. Indeed it does require a high degree of accuracy, but in reality we presently have the technology to hit an object 100 meters across and smaller in deep space at 300,000 miles and more.

As Mr. Apperson says, hitting a 100 meter object at 500,000 kilometers means a ten meter long laser tube would have to be aimed within 2 microns or .002 mm . To do this we solidly mount the laser as a structural member of the ship. To
the outside of the cooling sheli of the laser tube a high resolution TV
camera is solidly mounted. The camera is highly sensitive (Present military TV scanners can produce a daylight quality battlefield picture using only starlight.) and designed to pick up only light at the laser wavelength. The lens on the camera is a high magnification type. The TV display feeds directly into a computer which controls very small maneuvering rockets which ain the entire ship at the target. A special lens mounted on a rotating arm spins in front of the laser end 120 times a minute.

In the vacuum of space laser beams will not diverge significant ly over great distances, and the ability of optical equipment to pick up objects at great distances is only limited by the size of the optical lens and its quality of workmanship. The small, lightweight TV camera aboard Mariner 10 was able to obtain resolution on objects 150 meters across at 10,000 km . above Mercury and 20 km . across at $4,500,000 \mathrm{~km}$. The TV camera used on most of these missions uses about 750 ines verticle and 750 horizontal. Therefore to penpoint an object 100 meters across at $500,000 \mathrm{~km}$. the TV camera will have a telescopic lens giving it a seventy-five km. field of vision at that range.

In operation the laser weapon would initially fire when the rotating diverging lens was covering the end of the laser. This would produce a wide beam of about 75 km . at $500,000 \mathrm{~km}$. The reflection of the target would be picked up by the TV camera and fed into the computer which would then activate maneuvering rockets and aim the entire ship at the target. This process would be repeated once or twice until perfect aim is obtained and then the laser would fire when the rotating lens is not in front of the laser end.

Once this system has been sighted in by actual firings at drone targets in space, the only cause of inaccuracy will be the unequal expansion due to temperature change within the metal be-
tween the camera lens mounting and the laser tube itself. Since the laser would be continuously cooled and could be fired at intervals accurate to one thousandth of a second, this change in beam aim would be After trial firings on drones the and compensate for these very small changes in beam aim on the first firing, second firing, etc.
firing, second firing, etc. let's try another approach. Mr Apperson states that the range might move out to $5,000 \mathrm{~km}$. where the ten meter long laser tube could be off by 0.1 mm . That degree of accuracy would be a piece of cake for the system I have just describ for the syster have just describ foid we will use the laser as a scatter weapon. If the beam is sllowed to diverge uniformly over large area the power density over drop too low to be effective, but if the beam is split components with separat ion between them, the beams will cover a much larger area with no drop in power density A special lens will cause the 1 meter diameter beam to break up into 400 beams of 2 inch
diameter each. The distance between the small beams will increase as they move out unt il at $50,000 \mathrm{~km}$. each beam will be 50 meters apart and they will cover a circular area 1 km . in diameter. Each of the smaller 2 inch ( 50 mm .) beams will have the same hole cutting power on metal or force field as the larger beam; only the holes will be smaller. To increase the range an other ten fold to $500,000 \mathrm{~km}$., increase the laser tube size to a ten meter diameter and break the beam into 40,000 beams of 50 mm . diameter and blanket a 10 km diameter circle.

Since we have seen that current technology should be able to hit an object with laser fire at 300,000 miles and we are talking about addecades into the future five to t hitting an object at 300,000 miles is quite conservative. When I wrote the article on lasers I assumed that, like the weapons systems of today, the development of laser weapons systems would go to the maximum possible range. From the time the laser range finder light reflects off the target until the weapon's beams hit the target will be the time lag. The ability of a target to out maneuver the fire is dependant on its abilitv to take G (See table on bottom of page 26)
forces. With a split beam laser covering 1 km . the seven $G$ ship could be hit at over 600,000 miles and the forty $G$ ship at 100,000 miles. As I originally said, the combat range of the laser warship should move out to about 300,000 miles.

The laser triggered miniature nuclear warheads I mentioned in nuclear warheads 1 mentioned in the TSG 5 article apparently al ready exists in a Reports of the 13 th meet in of the NATO Nuclear Planning Group of the Naro Nuclear planing Group in 1973 suggested posible ment of multiple warhead for (no fission products) and with yield of 50 to 100 ton of TNT In the same article I failed

In the same article I failed to mention a theoretically possible laser that may become a major weapon in the distant future. Se lected isomers of selected isotopes are imbedded in a beryllium rod core, which is surrounded by a layer of enriched uranium or plutonium, which is in turn sur rounded by a layer of deuterium or tritium. The entire mass, which is tritium. The entire mass, which is in diameter, is rotated in a power in magnetic field powerful fast pulse laser. As the entire mechanisum vaporizes in a small nuclear detonation it emit smali nuciear power. It should work, but the power. It should work, but the build such a device is extremely complex. If the GRASER (Gamma Ray complex. If the GRASER (Gamma Ray long time coming.

Charles R. Bowles
Colo. Sprgs., Colo.

Following your comments on growth, it seems to me that the wargame/fantasy-posture industry as a whole will probably hit about $20,000,000$ sales in 1982, given a modicum of stability, and then will evel off there indefinitely, de pending for further advances on
 Bobby Fischer. At the moment I see no reason why MC should not be theng, say, $2-4 \%$ of that total by then. This sturf is beginning to be esformal tutorial possibithe n informa tutorials among the Speass indon greatest market seems to be in
eastern Europe and the Soviet Union very high literacy, chess is big, they are philosophically agreeable to considering societies as longterm affairs, and the applications of systems analysis are still pret avantgarde. The difficulties are enormous, of course, but the Soviets do lions, though they would never put tions, though they would never put it so.

Lynn Willis
San Francisco, Calif

It seems strange to me that a magazine which is dedicated to science fiction gaming, and continwally produces excellent articles on this and related subjects, should carry an editorial damning creative editorial was one of self-righteous ness and hellfire-harangue which I ness and hellountered since I read Sinners in the Hands of an Angry God. Finally, the general content of the editorial was roughly as silly as the sermon alluded to in the as the sermon allude

I do not doubt that too many people pursue "higher education" for the wrong reasons or for no reason at all. I agree that anyone who spends years of time and thousands of dollars with no goal in mind is something of a fool, and that people who will not organize their own lives have only themselves to blame if they are not happy

Never-the-less, the failure of education cited in the editorial is not so much the failure of an insti who use the institution blindly and without clear purpose. To indite higher education and creativity for the failure of a few folk (or many) the failure of a few folk (or many) certain ancient peoples who - when suffering prolonged drought, famine or other calamity - broke their idols to show their gods how they felt. A society such as ours needs plumbers and janitors and housewives. We also need people who can understand the workings of nuclear reactors, and someone who can design computer hardware, and someone who can improve on existing space craft These skills, believe it or not, require years of study before one is even qualified to express an opinion For a high school dropout to aspire
to such jobs would be analogous to a five-year-old trying to win the More: weight lifting championship creativity of no small magnitude. How else can one fathom the defect of existing designs and decide upon proper improvements

As for the humanities: we need them to keep us in touch with our own culture and past. Although my own education is primarily technical I find life without literature and history and art to be damnably boring. True, we do suffer from a glut of paper degrees; but once again I blame those who pursue higher educa lion blindly and choose majors on the basis of how easy they are, knowles than on the basis of how the knowledge gained will prove helpful to them. There are many alternatives vocational institutions abound and has only has only to decide what one wants

Lastly, I would like to address the idea that all proper education should be purely practical and job Jobs are something that a man (or Jobs are something that a man (or a single woman) must have to eat and maintain existence, but the imp education and simply work strikes me as being a trifle silly. No doubt it as being a trifle silly. No doubt works for some. I know people who get along very well without liter cure, and who could care less for history or art. I suspect, however, that if everyone tried to be a self made man that the ideal would be a I've known a few who tried that route, and went down the tubes. route, and went down the tubes.
point, and has been answered above. In two weeks or so I will leave my military station and return to graduate school, where I will earn an MS in computer science. I will consider it time well-spent, and will hopefully use it to secure a higher-paying job than I could have obtained with a BS in the same field My education will not stop after and whatever my job I will continue fo frequent universities in search to knowlent universities in search idle curiousity. Once I have a good position from which to launch these intellectual journies, very few of them will involve down-to-earth, job-related subjects. I will return to mathematics -a subject which I love but which I do not want for primary degree, largely because there is too little demand for "pure" mathematicians. I will rush up on certain historical subjects which 1 have long been mil rush for degrees and jobs has俍 prevented me from studying in tail. I may be able to explore English literature, and satisfy my curiousity

Much of this knowledge will be pure and useless. Even mathematics tends to be abstract in direct proportion to my interest in it ill, I may find use for this pointless knowledge. It is amazing things. If I never find any use fol for some field of knowledge, I will the institution which imparted th hew le it will have pared the
time simply sustaining life by work and eating is not enough. The qualia and eating is not enough. The quality of life matters almost as much as have a low-paying job in a town where I had friends and opportunities to pursue my hobbies, than to ties to pursue my hobbies, than to where I had no friends and no opportunities for off-the-job amusement. Believe it or not (and it hurts me to say this): money isn't everything. I' 11 pass over the rest. The charges that schools exist as havens for the incompetent is too silly to bother answering. The charge that schools don't exist to impart knowledge is the expression of a narrow viewpoint: schools don't exist for ANY single reason. The knowledge is there if you want it, which is comforming to know, but getting it is up to the student. The charge that creative thinking is a blind alley
is another example of a narrow viewlife and my decision.

Christopher S. Spilman

Lynn White's article was in teresting, but it seems that she has neglected the fact that there is ouse something a war with a single cause, something that was drilled into me countless times by my his tory classes. Take WWI as an example $-i t$ wasn't just the fact Ferdinand that got Austria-Hungary Ferdinand that got Austria-Hungary to mobilize their troops. There were and causes besides, both related forces of and the Pan-Slav-Hungarian power as the Pan-Germanic etc. The well
"Aryan Myth" so prominent in WWII already existed. The big powers wanted into the Balkans. To name a aw. And the big one, namely the ifference between the "haves" and he "have-nots" would surely remain ven after the technology she dewill have it wire have it at the same time, and be a lot of tensions, which when opined with other wises canc aus war cans a war. Especially if large govern mental organization goes away.
iso, the haves always want more, usual ane WWI I s. F Went and rouble creating to a great deal of real te creating a Terran cong "all ships lead way, and eventually the outer colonies nd eventually the outer colonies broke off, fairly peacefully ant naturally, the powers-that-be But naturally, the powers-that-be back hence a war.

And then, of course, there's always the chance that the Solar System will be invaded by monsters from Outer Space..

Eldon set off a few long-dead circuits in my mind...I have on occasion thought about having a game without rules, only with beginning forces and some very loose object tives, and maybe a planet to run around on. For example, you tell the around on. For example, you tell the
first player that he holds a city of one-million people, named Spielenburg, on the outer fringes of a great desert. He has, within that population, 4000 crack SS troops, 350 bomber pilots, and 100 fighter pilots, and the necessary Nazi equipment to get them running. He has such and such in oil, such and such in factories and agricultural areas, and he may make such and such areas, and he may make such and such expansions. (I think a map of the necessary) The next player gets an necessary) The next player gets an and a Mark XVII Bolo, on the other side of the desert, as well as ten side of the desert, as well as ten
one-man stratojets with pilots. And the next player gets $200,000 \mathrm{zulu}$ the next player gets $200,000 \mathrm{Zulu}$ scattered acorss the desert scattered acorss the desert at variclimatic reports, and the game runs ppm. A central moderator, or better yet, moderating committee, keeps yet, moderating committee, keeps moves are simultaneous, and at any point the game may stop, depending point the game may stop, depending tor feel about it. The players then submit their final The players then ing the projected strategies of
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their forces，and the committee de－ cides who has＂won，＂if anyone has． Eldon would then have won！
（Note：this particular scenario isn＇t too serious．The Bolo has no reason to fight－－the Zulus may want the Nazi technology，and the Na the Bolo，but other than that of course，you could incorporate the Bolo as a moderator－controlled trouble－maker，like the vortices in SORCERER or even as a player－
controlled troublemaker，with that player controlling the＂machine gone－mad＂in the way which he／she fee ！s
most．）

And the purpose of all this？ To add realism，of course．No war has yet been fought by any particu－ lar set of rules except perhaps when a particular army got＂general orders and used those．But even when such things as international law exist，they usually get broken． Hitler marched though neutral countries wit ample．And little crazy things like Thermopylae are hard to come by in a rules－controlled situation．The only rule there was you can well a well，but not very far．．．people with arrows in them tend to fall down．

How about，in SC，the ability to self－destruct and really tear some enemy up？Considering the de－ structive force of a DN，combined with its engines，it seems to me whole planet if you were to totally unleash all that power at once nearby．Say kill all the colonist and reduce a TR80 planet to STG and totally eradicate BR planets． kill the popular kill the population and reduce th planet＇s capacity to the next possible，reduce the planet＇s type possible，reduce the planet＇s type MT40），and an ESC would just kill the population，and a SCT＇s engines the population，and a would kill say ten million people． would kill say ten million people Maybe that＇s a bit much，but the potential is there．Maybe a SCT would only destroy a million people， and a DN would do what the ESC does above，and reduces empty planets only．But that also makes a way to break down PFS＇s，yes？

Well，we shall see．
K．Allen Bjorke Minneapolis，Minn．

May Brian Bloomquist be hung No satire or humor？？？The man＇s a sadist！I 11 admit that as a war－ gamer I take SF as not too serious an escape hatch from the threat of laugh at a floundering（no insult intended）SF mag，what can you laugh at？You admitted yourself that ＂where you＇re goin＂might be bank supt．Hope not．

Please do not publish anymore articles about ESC Vs．ATK．We see the ruddy problem，and who the bloody cares what the exact odds are？！！I would bet as often on $6.029 \%$ as I would on $8.336 \%$ ，never， not a real bet，a kamikaze on the side，but．．

Your magazine did make an in teresting point，right now lasers， phasers，and blazers are less dangerous than razors

I will probably be making see era comments on SC，as I finally scrounged up the money with the in low of summer work cash．I really wouldn＇t pay anybody for anymore者 ship articles on SC， he Ship Effectiveness of it，and pret s wooing to argue with that roll r coaster formula on the bottom of page eleven？

Frank B．Weir，Jr Clarion，Iowa

I used to like TSG．It was a nice，friendly sort of magazine，a format I could feel comfortable with．

This was not so with issue \＃7 First of all，the editorial，besides being outright wrong，had absolutely nothing to do with gaming，which is what TSG is all about．I＇m not say－ ing that you shouldn＇t express your views，but you should pick subject matter related to gaming．

May I also say that swearing is a sign of a small mind．You may say that I＇m old worldish，but your comment in＂Game Design Notes＂was totally uncalled for．Have you lost your wit？

The rest of the issue was fine， but I really couldn＇t enjoy it after such a cold beginning．

The quality of the magazine is definitely improving，but instead of investing your money on a computer not look into type setting and quality tenfold

But still the warmth is gone and unless it returns，you have lost a subscriber．

Paul O＇Connor Van Nus，Ca．
was extremely interested in your discussion of the use of lasers in ship－to－ship warfare in TSG \＃7， as I am currently developing BATTLEFLEET MARS for SPI．The two objections to the use of lasers at extreme distances（in the range of several tens of thousands of kilo－ meters）were that a）the loss of power of a laser beam with increas ing distance would decrease the effect of a laser weapon，and that b）the arc that a spaceship presents to a firing ship decreases drama tically as the distance between the two ships increases，making it difficult or impossible to hit a distant ship

In BATTLEFLEET ，we are assume－ ing that the two most common weapons are lasers and nuclear missiles． Obviously the latter are only for use in extremely close conditions， as a nuclear blast in space（where there cannot be a shockwave）will do little to no damage if at a distance from a ship．

To answer the first objection to the use of laser weapons，the power of a laser decreases very little with distance．In space， there are obviously very few free atoms to diffuse a beam；thus the only factor decreasing the power with distance is the inevitable di vergence of the beam．No matter how tight the beam is made，there will be some divergence with distance．

However，as technology in－ creases，a laser beam can be made tighter and tighter．Therefore，if one assumes sufficient laser tech－ nology，one can assume as tight a beam as one likes．I believe it is possible to develop the technology to hold a beam to a divergence of say $100 \%$ over a distance of 50,000 kilometers over a period of 100 years．

The second objection is more cogent．As Mr．Apperson showed in his letter，the arc a ship presents at extreme distances is quite small and thus as distance increases we can assume that the ability of a firing ship to hit another ship de creases．Mr．Apperson assumes a maximum range of $5,000 \mathrm{~km}$ ；we＇re
technology，and a range of $20,000 \mathrm{~km}$ （Also $20,000 \mathrm{~km}$ is good for play reasons，which is another pressing reason）．

To integrate these two factors the fact that the power of a beam will diminish only a little over great distances，but that increas ing distance will make it more difficult to hit a ship．．．－we＇re assuming a＂D\＆̧ワ＂／1ike hit system； first you roll to see whether you＇ve hit，and if you＇ve hit，then de－ termine how much damage the target ship takes．In other words，the amount of damage is in no way de－ pendent upon the range，but the probability of hitting a ship is．

In TSG \＃6，the writer of the review on THE YTHRI as an historical appraisal is good．He explains the reality of THE YTHRI as compared to The People of the Wind and how it could be improved to recreate more closely the outcome of the novel． However，one sentence irked me： Unless the Terran player has been foolish and split his forces（or worse，lost some of the transports before landing），it is a simple task to capture three choths or cities and win the game by turn 12．＂I have played THE YTHRI for quite awhile now，to work out the best strategy， and have found that the only real hope of a successful attack by the Terran is to split his forces．This forces the Ythri ships to spread out more，making it all the easier to destroy them one by one．Unless the Terran player is a total incompetent or someone new to the game（very new），it is an almost impossible task to prevent the destruction of even one of the transports．

On the planet，I have been successful in winning as the Terran player by splitting or combining my forces．Of capturing the bases，they are relatively easy to capture，but again，only an incompetent Ythri player would make it very simple to do it by turn twelve．In my opinion， Mr．Howe should play THE YTHRI more before making such comments on play style． probability of hitting a ship is．

Greg Costikyan New York，N．Y．

Mike Lazich
Burlingame，Calif．
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